

1. Definujte formuly predikátovej logiky a podformuly.

Vypíšte všetky podformuly pre formulu: $(\forall x)(\neg(x = y) \rightarrow (\exists y)((P(x, y) \vee \neg R(x, y, z)) \rightarrow (x + y = z)))$

Označte tie, ktoré sú atomické.

[2b]

- Definícia formuly:

1. Nech P je n -árny predikátový symbol a t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n sú termy. Potom $P(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$ je formula. Hovoríme jej tiež atomická formula.
2. Nech A a B sú formuly, potom $(A \leftrightarrow B), (A \rightarrow B), (A \wedge B), (A \vee B), \neg A$ sú formuly.
3. Nech A je formula a x je premenná, potom $(\forall x)A$ a $(\exists x)A$ sú formuly.
4. Každá formula vznikne konečným použitím 1., 2. a 3.

- Definícia podformuly (prvá možnosť): Podformula formuly A je formula, ktoré je jej podreťazcom.

- Definícia podformuly (druhá možnosť):

1. Ak A je atomická formula, potom je jej podformulou iba ona sama.
2. Ak A je tvaru $B \leftrightarrow C, B \rightarrow C, B \wedge C$ alebo $B \vee C$, potom je podformulou ona sama a každá podformula formuly B alebo C .
3. Ak A je tvaru $\neg B, (\forall x)B$ alebo $(\exists x)B$, potom je podformulou ona sama a každá podfromula formuly B .
4. Žiadne ďalšie podformuly neexistujú.

- Podformuly formuly zo zadania:

- | | |
|----------------------|--|
| 1. $x = y$ | 7. $P(x, y) \vee \neg R(x, y, z)$ |
| 2. $P(x, y)$ | 8. $(P(x, y) \vee \neg R(x, y, z)) \rightarrow (x + y = z)$ |
| 3. $R(x, y, z)$ | 9. $(\exists y)((P(x, y) \vee \neg R(x, y, z)) \rightarrow (x + y = z))$ |
| 4. $x + y = z$ | 10. $\neg(x = y) \rightarrow (\exists y)((P(x, y) \vee \neg R(x, y, z)) \rightarrow (x + y = z))$ |
| 5. $\neg(x = y)$ | 11. $(\forall x)(\neg(x = y) \rightarrow (\exists y)((P(x, y) \vee \neg R(x, y, z)) \rightarrow (x + y = z)))$ |
| 6. $\neg R(x, y, z)$ | |

Atomické podfromuly sú prvé štyri.

- 2 a) Vo FS PL dokážte prenexnú operáciu $(\forall x)(A \wedge B) \leftrightarrow ((\forall x)A \wedge B)$ kde x nie je voľná v B .

Môžete použiť prvú, druhú aj tretiu prenexnú operáciu, tj. $(Qx)\neg A \leftrightarrow \neg(\bar{Q}x)A$, $(Qx)(B \rightarrow A) \leftrightarrow (B \rightarrow (Qx)A)$ a $(Qx)(B \rightarrow A) \leftrightarrow ((\bar{Q}x)B \rightarrow A)$.

- b) Prevedťe nasledujúcu formulu do prenexného normálneho tvaru:

$$(\exists x)P(x) \wedge \neg(\forall y)[(\forall x)Q(x, y) \rightarrow (\forall x)(\exists y)(R(x, y) \rightarrow P(y))] \quad [4b]$$

- a) V tomto dôkaze budem prakticky stále používať vetu o ekvivalencií. To znamená, že budem meniť podformulu za jej ekvivalentnú.

$$\begin{aligned} &\vdash (\exists x)(A \rightarrow \neg B) \leftrightarrow (\exists x)(A \rightarrow \neg B) && (T1) \\ &\vdash ((\forall x)A \rightarrow \neg B) \leftrightarrow (\exists x)(A \rightarrow \neg B) && (3. \text{ prenexná operácia (p.o.)}) \\ &\vdash \neg(\exists x)(A \rightarrow \neg B) \leftrightarrow \neg((\forall x)A \rightarrow \neg B) && (\text{obmena}) \\ &\vdash (\forall x)\neg(A \rightarrow \neg B) \leftrightarrow \neg((\forall x)A \rightarrow \neg B) && (1. \text{ p.o.}) \\ &\vdash (\forall x)(A \wedge B) \leftrightarrow ((\forall x)A \wedge B) && ((A \wedge B) \leftrightarrow \neg(A \rightarrow \neg B)) \end{aligned}$$

- b) $(\exists x)P(x) \wedge \neg(\forall y)[(\forall x)Q(x, y) \rightarrow (\forall x)(\exists y)(R(x, y) \rightarrow P(y))]$

$$\begin{aligned} &(\exists x)P(x) \wedge \neg(\forall y)(\forall x)[(\forall x)Q(x, y) \rightarrow (\exists y)(R(x, y) \rightarrow P(y))] && (2. \text{ p.o. na } (\forall x)) \\ &(\exists x)P(x) \wedge \neg(\forall y)(\forall x)[(\forall x)Q(x, y) \rightarrow (\exists w)(R(x, w) \rightarrow P(w))] && (\text{premenovanie 2. } y \text{ na } w) \\ &(\exists x)P(x) \wedge \neg(\forall y)(\forall x)(\exists w)[(\forall x)Q(x, y) \rightarrow (R(x, w) \rightarrow P(w))] && (2. \text{ p.o. na } (\forall w)) \\ &(\exists x)P(x) \wedge \neg(\forall y)(\forall x)(\exists w)(\exists u)[(\forall x)Q(u, y) \rightarrow (R(x, w) \rightarrow P(w))] && (\text{premenovanie 3. } x \text{ na } u) \\ &(\exists x)P(x) \wedge \neg(\forall y)(\forall x)(\exists w)(\exists u)[Q(u, y) \rightarrow (R(x, w) \rightarrow P(w))] && (3. \text{ p.o. na } (\forall u)) \\ &(\exists x)P(x) \wedge (\exists y)(\exists x)(\forall w)\neg[Q(u, y) \rightarrow (R(x, w) \rightarrow P(w))] && (4 \times 1. \text{ p.o.}) \\ &(\exists x)(P(x) \wedge (\exists y)(\exists x)(\forall w)\neg[Q(u, y) \rightarrow (R(x, w) \rightarrow P(w))]) && (5. \text{ p.o. na } (\exists x)) \\ &(\exists x)(P(x) \wedge (\exists y)(\exists v)(\forall w)\neg[Q(u, y) \rightarrow (R(v, w) \rightarrow P(w))]) && (\text{premenovanie 2. } x \text{ na } v) \\ &(\exists x)(\exists y)(\exists v)(\forall w)(\forall u)(P(x) \wedge \neg[Q(u, y) \rightarrow (R(v, w) \rightarrow P(w))]) && (4 \times 4. \text{ p.o.}) \end{aligned}$$

3 Uvažujme Robinsonovu aritmetiku, tj. teóriu v predikátovej logike s rovnosťou so špeciálnymi axiómami:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 (Q1) & (\forall x)(\forall y)(S(x) = S(y) \rightarrow x = y) \\
 (Q2) & (\forall x)\neg(S(x) = 0) \\
 (Q3) & (\forall x)(\neg(x = 0) \rightarrow (\exists y)(x = S(y))) \\
 & \qquad\qquad\qquad (Q4) (\forall x)(x + 0 = x) \\
 & \qquad\qquad\qquad (Q5) (\forall x)(\forall y)(x + S(y) = S(x + y)) \\
 & \qquad\qquad\qquad (Q6) (\forall x)(x \cdot 0 = 0) \\
 & \qquad\qquad\qquad (Q7) (\forall x)(\forall y)(x \cdot S(y) = x \cdot y + x)
 \end{array}$$

Dokážte: (a) $0 \cdot S(0) = 0$, (b) $(\forall x)[(x = S(0)) \rightarrow (\forall y)(y + x = S(y))]$.

[4b]

a) Za 1.5b:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 1. & \vdash (\forall x)(\forall y)(x \cdot S(y) = x \cdot y + x) & (Q7) \\
 2. & \vdash 0 \cdot S(0) = 0 \cdot 0 + 0 & (2x(A\check{S} \text{ na 1. } (x=0, y=0), MP)) \\
 3. & \vdash 0 \cdot 0 = 0 & (Q6, A\check{S} (x=0), MP) \\
 4. & \vdash (\forall x)(x = x) & (PG \text{ na R1}) \\
 5. & \vdash 0 = 0 & (A\check{S}(x=0), MP) \\
 6. & \vdash (\forall x_1)(\forall y_1)(\forall x_2)(\forall y_2)(x_1 = y_1 \rightarrow (x_2 = y_2 \rightarrow x_1 + x_2 = y_1 + y_2)) & (4xPG \text{ na R2}) \\
 7. & \vdash 0.0 = 0 \rightarrow (0 = 0 \rightarrow 0.0 + 0 = 0 + 0) & (4x(A\check{S} \text{ na 6. } (x_1=0.0, y_1=0, x_2=0, y_2=S(0)), MP)) \\
 8. & \vdash 0.0 + 0 = 0 + 0 & (2x MP 3. a 5. na 7.) \\
 9. & \vdash 0 + 0 = 0 & (Q4, A\check{S} (x=0), MP) \\
 10. & \vdash 0 \cdot S(0) = 0 & (2x \text{ tranzitivita = na 2., 8. a 9.})
 \end{array}$$

b) Za 2.5b:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 1. & \vdash (\forall x)(\forall z)(x + S(z) = S(x + z)) & (Q5) \\
 2. & \vdash y + S(0) = S(y + 0) & (2x(A\check{S} \text{ na 1. } (x=y, z=0), MP)) \\
 3. & \vdash y + 0 = y & (Q4, A\check{S} (x=y), MP) \\
 4. & \vdash (\forall x)(\forall z)(x = z \rightarrow S(x) = S(z)) & (2x PG \text{ na R2}) \\
 5. & \vdash y + 0 = y \rightarrow S(y + 0) = S(y) & (2x(A\check{S} \text{ na 4. } (x=y+0, z=y), MP)) \\
 6. & \vdash S(y + 0) = S(y) & (MP 3.5.) \\
 7. & \vdash y + S(0) = S(y) & (\text{tranzitivita = na 2. a 6.}) \\
 8. & \vdash (\forall x_1)(\forall y_1)(\forall x_2)(\forall y_2)(x_1 = y_1 \rightarrow (x_2 = y_2 \rightarrow x_1 + x_2 = y_1 + y_2)) & (4xPG \text{ na R2}) \\
 9. & \vdash y = y \rightarrow (x = S(0) \rightarrow y + x = y + S(0)) & (4x(A\check{S} (x_1=y, y_1=y, x_2=x, y_2=S(0)), MP)) \\
 10. & \vdash y = y & (R1) \\
 11. & \vdash x = S(0) \rightarrow y + x = y + S(0) & (MP 9., 10.) \\
 12. & x = S(0) \vdash y + x = y + S(0) & (VD) \\
 13. & x = S(0) \vdash y + x = S(y) & (\text{tranzitivita = na 12. a 7.}) \\
 14. & x = S(0) \vdash (\forall y)(y + x = S(y)) & (PG \text{ na } y, y \text{ nemá na ľavej strane voľný výskyt}) \\
 15. & \vdash x = S(0) \rightarrow (\forall y)(y + x = S(y)) & (VD) \\
 16. & \vdash (\forall x)(x = S(0) \rightarrow (\forall y)(y + x = S(y))) & (PG)
 \end{array}$$

4 Pre každú dvojicu teória T , formula A dokážte $T \vdash A$ vo FS PL, alebo nájdite model $\mathcal{M} \models T$ taký, že $\mathcal{M} \not\models A$.

- (a) $T = \{(\forall x)(\forall y)(x = y), (\exists x)(\exists y)\neg(x = y)\}$, $A: (\forall x)(P(x) \wedge \neg P(x))$
- (b) $T = \{(\forall x)(Q(x) \vee R(x) \rightarrow P(x)), (\exists x)(P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x)), (\forall x)(Q(x) \rightarrow R(x))\}$, $A: (\forall x)(P(x) \wedge R(x))$
- (c) $T = \{(\forall x)(\exists y)(x + y = 0), (\forall x)(x + 0 = x)\}$, $A: (\exists x)(\exists y)(y + y = x)$
- (d) $T = \{(\forall x)(\exists y)(x + y = 0), (\forall x)(x + 0 = x)\}$, $A: (\forall x)(0 + x = x)$
- (e) $T = \{(\forall x)\neg(x < x), (\forall x)(\forall y)[(x < y) \rightarrow \neg(y < x)], (\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)[(x < y) \rightarrow ((y < z) \rightarrow (x < z))] \}$, $A: (\forall x)[\neg(x = c) \rightarrow (x < c)] \rightarrow \neg(\exists x)(c < x)$

[5b]

(a) T je sporná teória. Taká teória nemá model, ale zato sa z nej dajú dokázať všetky formuly. Jeden z dôkazov našej formuly môže byť:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 1. & T \vdash (\forall x)(\forall y)(x = y) & (\text{predpoklad}) \\
 2. & T \vdash (\exists x)(\exists y)\neg(x = y) & (\text{predpoklad}) \\
 3. & T \vdash \neg(\forall x)(\forall y)(x = y) & (\text{prepis } \exists + \text{ veta o ekvivalencií}) \\
 4. & T \vdash \neg(\forall x)(\forall y)(x = y) \rightarrow ((\forall x)(\forall y)(x = y) \rightarrow (\forall x)(P(x) \wedge \neg P(x))) & (T2) \\
 5. & T \vdash (\forall x)(P(x) \wedge \neg P(x)) & (2x MP 1. a 3. na 4.)
 \end{array}$$

(b) $T \not\vdash A$. Asi najjednoduchší model T , ktorý nie je modelom A vyzerá takto:

$$\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{U} = \{0\}, \quad P_{\mathcal{M}} = \{0\}, \quad Q_{\mathcal{M}} = \emptyset, \quad R_{\mathcal{M}} = \emptyset$$

(c) $T \vdash A$:

- | | | |
|----|---|--------------|
| 1. | $T \vdash (\forall x)(x + 0 = x)$ | (predpoklad) |
| 2. | $T \vdash (\forall x)(x + 0 = x) \rightarrow (0 + 0 = 0)$ | (AŠ $x=0$) |
| 3. | $T \vdash 0 + 0 = 0$ | (MP 1., 2.) |
| 4. | $T \vdash (0 + 0 = 0) \rightarrow (\exists y)(y + y = 0)$ | (duálna AŠ) |
| 5. | $T \vdash (\exists y)(y + y = 0)$ | (MP 3., 4.) |
| 6. | $T \vdash (\exists y)(y + y = 0) \rightarrow (\exists x)(\exists y)(y + y = x)$ | (duálna AŠ) |
| 7. | $T \vdash (\exists x)(\exists y)(y + y = x)$ | (MP 5., 6.) |

(d) $T \not\vdash A$. Vyplýva to z toho, že nemáme zabezpečené, že $+$ je komutatívne. Stačí zvoliť napríklad, takúto relačnú štruktúru \mathcal{M} :

$$\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{U} = \mathbb{Z}, \quad 0_{\mathcal{M}} = 0, \quad +_{\mathcal{M}} = -$$

Čiže plus realizujeme ako operáciu odčítanie. Táto relačná štruktúra je modelom T a nie je modelom A .

(e) $T \vdash A$:

- | | | |
|-----|---|------------------------------------|
| 1. | $T, (\forall x)(\neg(x = c) \rightarrow (x < c)) \vdash (\forall x)(\neg(x = c) \rightarrow (x < c))$ | (predpoklad) |
| 2. | $T, (\forall x)(\neg(x = c) \rightarrow (x < c)) \vdash \neg(x = c) \rightarrow (x < c)$ | (AŠ $(x=x)$, MP) |
| 3. | $T, (\forall x)(\neg(x = c) \rightarrow (x < c)), \neg(x = c) \vdash (x < c)$ | (VD) |
| 4. | $T \vdash (x < c) \rightarrow \neg(c < x)$ | (predp., 2x(AŠ $(x=x, y=c)$, MP)) |
| 5. | $T, (\forall x)(\neg(x = c) \rightarrow (x < c)), \neg(x = c) \vdash \neg(c < x)$ | (MP 3., 4.) |
| 6. | $T, (\forall x)(\neg(x = c) \rightarrow (x < c)), (x = c) \vdash (x = c)$ | (MP 3., 4.) |
| 7. | $\vdash (\forall x)(\forall y)(x = y \rightarrow y = x)$ | (2xPG na symetriu $=$) |
| 8. | $\vdash (x = c) \rightarrow (c = x)$ | (2x(AŠ $(x=x, y=c)$, MP)) |
| 9. | $T, (\forall x)(\neg(x = c) \rightarrow (x < c)), (x = c) \vdash (c = x)$ | (MP 6., 8.) |
| 10. | $\vdash x = x$ | (R1) |
| 11. | $\vdash c = x \rightarrow (x = x \rightarrow (c < x \rightarrow x < x))$ | (instancia R3) |
| 12. | $T, (\forall x)(\neg(x = c) \rightarrow (x < c)), (x = c) \vdash c < x \rightarrow x < x$ | (2xMP 9. a 10. na 11.) |
| 13. | $T, (\forall x)(\neg(x = c) \rightarrow (x < c)), (x = c) \vdash \neg(x < x) \rightarrow \neg(c < x)$ | (obmena 12.) |
| 14. | $T \vdash \neg(x < x)$ | (predpoklad, AŠ $(x=x)$, MP) |
| 15. | $T, (\forall x)(\neg(x = c) \rightarrow (x < c)), (x = c) \vdash \neg(c < x)$ | (MP 14., 13.) |
| 16. | $T, (\forall x)(\neg(x = c) \rightarrow (x < c)) \vdash \neg(c < x)$ | (lema o neutr. formule 5., 15.) |
| 17. | $T, (\forall x)(\neg(x = c) \rightarrow (x < c)) \vdash (\forall x)\neg(c < x)$ | (PG, x nemá naľavo voľný výskyt) |
| 18. | $T, (\forall x)(\neg(x = c) \rightarrow (x < c)) \vdash \neg(\exists x)(c < x)$ | (1. p.o., MP) |
| 19. | $T \vdash (\forall x)(\neg(x = c) \rightarrow (x < c)) \rightarrow \neg(\exists x)(c < x)$ | (VD) |