Object oriented analysis and modeling Testable code

> Robert Lukoťka lukotka@dcs.fmph.uniba.sk www.dcs.fmph.uniba.sk/~lukotka

> > M-255

Robert Lukoťka

Testing pyramid

- We need a lot of tests.
- It is good idea to automate most of the testing from the start of the project.
- We need a lot of test at the lowest level

OO design is based on objects that interact.

Depencency types:

- creates, destroys
- calls method
- modifies
- •

▶ < ≣ ▶

Unit test

- Solitary at lowest level we always test just one object
- Sociable we may test an object together with a related object

Even if we use sociable unit tests, we want to severely limit the number of classes tested at once.

So how do the dependencies affect our ability to write tests?

- creates, destroys
- calls method
- modifies
- . . .

All these dependency types are just bad!!!

Dependency injection and dependency inversion

- An object should not create other object that is not closely related, it should get its collaborators via dependency injection.
- The object should not depend on collaborator's implementation, there should be an interface depending on the essence of the collaboration.

So what to do?

- creates, destroys dependency injection
- calls method interface
- modifies interface
- . . .

When we want to run tests we still need the collaborating objects.

Collaborations are behind interfaces. So we make an implementation of these interfaces for the purpose of testing - **test doubles**.

M. Fowler: Test doubles

• After writing implementation.

æ

-≣->

< A

→ 《 문 ▶

- After writing implementation.
- After the object is designed but before writing implementation.
 - We may use test during the implementation.

- After writing implementation.
- After the object is designed but before writing implementation.
 - We may use test during the implementation.
- Before design.
 - Guarantees testable design.
- During specification by example.
 - Specification is unambiguous.
 - We avoid the step specification \rightarrow test cases.
 - Harder to achieve readability for all stakeholders, but many tools emerge.

- Example: Power
- Cucumber
- jnario
- nahodny link

臣

≣ ▶

<**₽** > < **≥** >

More readable:

- Easier to read for non-technical stakeholders
- Defines the object interface in a less readable way
- Requires non-trivial translation
- Guarantees testability of the object

Code:

- Hard to read for non-technical stakeholders
- Defines the object interface very clearly
- No or easy translation
- Guarantees testability of the object