

Principles of Software Design Requirements

Robert Lukotka

lukotka@dcs.fmph.uniba.sk

www.dcs.fmph.uniba.sk/~lukotka

M-255

Why do we need requirements

- Input for design and implementation

Why do we need requirements

- Input for design and implementation
- Preparing contract
- Estimation, project management
- Verification
- The basis for the documentation / orientation in the project
- Risk management
- ...

All stakeholders work with requirements

Source of requirements

- Customer

Source of requirements

- Customer
- Domain knowledge
- Other stakeholders (architectural requirements, implementation requirements, testing requirements, ...)
- Regulations and law
- Deployment conditions
- Organizational structure / Internal regulations of the customer
- ...

Hierarchy of requirements

- Business requirements

We want to decrease paper consumption ...

- User requirements

After finishing work on ... the following parties will be informed: ...

- System requirements

Upon signature the document will be handled to ... using ...

Traceability - it is important to know the relations between requirements (especially between requirements in different levels).

Hierarchy of requirements

- Business requirements

We want to decrease paper consumption ...

- User requirements

After finishing work on ... the following parties will be informed: ...

- System requirements

Upon signature the document will be handled to ... using ...

Traceability - it is important to know the relations between requirements (especially between requirements in different levels).

- Which requirements should we derive the contract from?

Requirement types

- Process requirements
- Product requirements
 - Functional requirements
 - Non-functional requirements

Requirement types

There are various frameworks to categorize requirements. This is useful so we can be sure that each category is covered.

- [An example](#)

Properties of good requirements

- Unitary (Cohesive)
- Complete
- Consistent
- Non-Conjugated
- Traceable
- Up to date
- Unambiguous
- Understandable
- Specified priority
- **Testable**

Focus on WHAT, not HOW (however, sometimes, especially when describing a desired process WHAT=HOW)

Properties of good requirements

- **Complete** (for given subsystem)
 - from all stakeholders
 - no gray areas - includes non-requirements, e.g. what is not part of the system
 - Potentially useful tools: templates ([IEEE830](#)), [classifications](#).

Examples of requirements and its implications

We want to decrease the paper consumption ...

- Business requirement, the source is the customer.
- Should be quantified, e.g. “by ... %”
- Priority?

Examples of requirements and its implications

We want to decrease the paper consumption ...

- Business requirement, the source is the customer.
- Should be quantified, e.g. “by ... %”
- Priority?
- Is it testable?

Examples of requirements and its implications

We want to decrease the paper consumption ...

- Business requirement, the source is the customer.
- Should be quantified, e.g. “by ... %”
- Priority?
- Is it testable? You need to create a system to measure this.
The quality may depend on the priority.

Examples of requirements and its implications

After finishing work on ... the following parties will be informed:

...

- User requirement - we should know which business requirements it is related with.
- We need a dictionary for the project to be able to specify the type of the document and the parties involved.
- How fast the parties should be notified? Can we measure it?
- Can anything go wrong during the process (of significant importance to be an user requirement)?

Examples of requirements and its implications

Upon signature the document will be handled to ... using

- How long should it take?
- What happens if the document will not be signed (+ several other exceptional workflows).

How to capture the requirements

How to capture the requirements

- “Victorian novel”
- Using a template
- Spreadsheet / other form of list
- Issue tracking
- Minutes from a meeting (only short time validity, it should be processed into a more robust form) . . .

Challenges:

- How much documentation we want to create **and maintain?**
- How to preserve traceability?
- How to find related requirements?
- What is the state of the requirement?

Capturing functional requirements

The most common way of organizing functional requirements are **use cases**. The definitions vary a lot:

- A list of actions or event steps typically defining the interactions between an actor role and a system to achieve a goal. [8]
- A use case is all the ways of using a system to achieve a particular goal for a particular user. Taken together the set of all the use cases gives you all of the useful ways to use the system, and illustrates the value that it will provide. [9]
- ...

Authors disagree mostly on how much value is required for something to be an use case.

Use case

A full use case contains:

- Actor (human or external system)
- A goal - something of a value to the actor
- A complete sequence of steps how to attain the goal
including alternative paths
- A lot of other stuff

Use cases can be included, extended and generalized.

How to capture functional requirements

Various amount of detail:

- Full use case
- Scenarios - A pass through the use case.
 - We use several scenarios per use case.
- User story - A sentence, often following certain template.

Possible template [10]:

As a <role> I can <capability>, so that <receive benefit>.

How to capture functional requirements

Various amount of detail:

- Full use case
- Scenarios - A pass through the use case.
 - We use several scenarios per use case.
- User story - A sentence, often following certain template.

Possible template [10]:

As a <role> I can <capability>, so that <receive benefit>.

There is a certain degree of freedom on what is an use case. E.g. if you use user stories, it make sense to use more fine-grained use cases.

Use cases - size [7]

Use cases may have various scope

- Organization (Business use case)
- System
- Component

And goals may have various levels

- Very high summary
- Summary
- User goal
- Subfunction
- Too Low

Use cases - usage

- Preferred level is sea level.
- Sometimes, you need higher level use cases (to make a simple view of the system, as a placeholder before eliciting details, to initiate discussion . . .)
 - Such use cases will be very often abstract - it will present a composition of several other use cases.
 - When you use the form of user stories, such stories are called **epics**. You need to split them up before implementing them.
- The (non-abstract) use case (at least the main flow) should not be too long- otherwise it may be hard to comprehend.

Use cases - usage

- You want to be able to implement a use case in one iteration.
- For subfunction level, it is sometimes very questionable if the use case presents sufficient value to the actor.
- Keeping use cases that are too low level up to date is tedious. You do not want to be too clever here (too much abstraction / use case composition) because you want to keep things simple (use cases are used to communicate with the customer). As a result certain degree of repetition is inevitable which makes managing such use cases particularly tedious.

Requirements workflow

- Stakeholder identification
- Elicitation
- Analysis
- Specification
- Validation

The process is iterative. During each phase a dictionary is created and refined.

Elicitation

- Discussions
- Focus Groups
- Questionnaires
- Observation
- Studying documentation, legislature ...
- Study of similar products
- ...

Analysis and specification

- Actor identification and modeling (including new abstract roles)
- Prototyping
- Traceability matrix
- Avoid requirements smells
 - Subjective language
 - Nebulous adjectives, superlatives
 - Negative requirements (\neq Non-requirements)
 - ...
- Careful write-up helps to identify weak spots
 - Use case analysis -searching for alternative scenarios
 - Generalization

Common problems capturing the use cases

The structure of a use case is too complex?

- UML activity diagram

The use case is repetitive / too long?

- We can divide it and use use-case composition.
- Creates dependencies between your use cases.
- Do not overthink it, use cases need to have simple structure

To give an overview of dependencies between actors and use-cases:

- UML use case diagram

Resources I

- [Wikipedia - Requirement](#)
- [J. Mifsud: Requirements Gathering Part 1](#)
- [Techpedia - Use case](#)
- [Wikipedia - Use case example](#)
- [UML Use Case Diagrams](#)
- [UML Activities](#)

References |

-  [SWEBOK V3 - Chapter 1](#)
-  [Wikipedia - Requirement](#)
-  [Wikipedia - Requirement analysis](#)
-  [J. Kostičová: Requirements](#)
-  [J. Mifsud: Requirements Gathering](#)
-  [uml-diagrams.org](#)
-  [A. Cockburn - Use case template](#)
-  [A. Cockburn: Writing Effective Use Cases, Addison-Wesley, 2001](#)
-  [I. Jakobson: Use Case 2.0](#)

References II



Wikipedia - User Story