

Classical algorithms for mutual exclusion

The program in the attachment consists of two threads. One repeatedly increments a shared variable `s`, the other one repeatedly decrements `s`. The value of the variable `n` specifies the number of repetitions in both the threads. The initial value of `s` is 0, hence the expected final value of `s` is also 0. The `pthread` library is used to start one of threads, but no means of mutual exclusion are used to prevent the threads from concurrent access to `s`. Note the use of symbols `MUTEX_NONE`, `MUTEX_DEKKER` and `MUTEX_PETERSON` in `Makefile` and `concurrency.c` (`Makefile` produces 3 executables which differ only in code fragments surrounded by corresponding `#ifdef ... #endif`).

a) In the designated places in the attached source code, implement Dekker's and Peterson's algorithms to prevent simultaneous access of the threads to `s` (do not use any additional library functions, do not change the rest of the source file). Count the number of the idle repetitions in the entry protocols (the number of executions of the busy waiting loops in Dekker's and Peterson's algorithms) in the variables `c1` and `c2` (`c1` for one thread, `c2` for the other thread). Make sure that there are 0 errors and 0 warnings reported by the compiler/linker.

b) Compare `-DMUTEX_NONE`, `-DMUTEX_DEKKER`, and `-DMUTEX_PETERSON` for $n=2^i$ for $i=0, 1, \dots, 29, 30$. Repeat the measurements 10 times for each i . Discuss the results. Also report the number of processors and the highest value of signed integer in your system (the latter can probably be found as the value of `INT_MAX` in `/usr/include/limits.h`).

c) Test all three programs thoroughly, look for an unexpected behaviour. Report what you found, explain it if you can.

Hand out a ZIP file `hw5.zip` containing source files and a report `hw5.pdf`.