

Secret sharing schemes

Martin Stanek

Department of Computer Science
Comenius University
`stanek@dcs.fmph.uniba.sk`

Cryptology 1 (2023/24)

Secret sharing schemes – introduction

- ▶ secret sharing schemes
 - ▶ distribute a secret (e.g. key) among some group of participants (users, servers)
 - ▶ rules – what group can reconstruct the secret
 - ▶ share – secret piece of information owned by individual participant
- ▶ a scheme consists of two algorithms/protocols:
 - ▶ producing and distributing the shares (usually uses a dealer)
 - ▶ reconstructing the shared secret
- ▶ motivation
 - ▶ Can you trust a single authority (admin or server)?
 - ▶ basis for other constructions – threshold cryptography, distributing computation among group of trusted servers, multi-party secure computation, electronic voting, ...

Secret sharing schemes

- ▶ n participants $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n\}$
- ▶ shared secret s
- ▶ shares: $P_i \leftarrow s_i$
- ▶ access structure $\mathcal{A} \subseteq 2^{\mathcal{P}}$ (power set)
 - ▶ $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ can reconstruct $s \Leftrightarrow A \in \mathcal{A}$
 - ▶ usually monotone access structure:

$$\forall A, B \subseteq \mathcal{P} : A \subseteq B \ \& \ A \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow B \in \mathcal{A}$$

- ▶ (t, n) threshold access structure, for $1 \leq t \leq n$:

$$\{A \mid A \subseteq \mathcal{P} \ \& \ |A| \geq t\}$$

Simple examples

- ▶ $(1, n)$ threshold
 - ▶ distribute the secret as individual shares: $s_i = s$
- ▶ (n, n) threshold – 1st attempt
 - ▶ let $s \in \{0, 1\}^l$
 - ▶ divide s into n shares s_1, \dots, s_n of length $\sim l/n$ bits
 - ▶ reconstruction: $s = s_1 \parallel \dots \parallel s_n$
 - ▶ $n - 1$ participants reconstruct a large part of s , approx. $l(n - 1)/n$ bits
- ▶ (n, n) threshold
 - ▶ let $s \in \{0, 1\}^l$
 - ▶ let $s_i \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}^l$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, and $s_n = s \oplus s_1 \oplus \dots \oplus s_{n-1}$
 - ▶ reconstruction: $s = s_1 \oplus \dots \oplus s_n$
 - ▶ security: any $n - 1$ (or less) participants learn nothing about s
 - ▶ *perfect* scheme

Simple examples

- ▶ $(1, n)$ threshold
 - ▶ distribute the secret as individual shares: $s_i = s$
- ▶ (n, n) threshold – 1st attempt
 - ▶ let $s \in \{0, 1\}^l$
 - ▶ divide s into n shares s_1, \dots, s_n of length $\sim l/n$ bits
 - ▶ reconstruction: $s = s_1 \parallel \dots \parallel s_n$
 - ▶ $n - 1$ participants reconstruct a large part of s , approx. $l(n - 1)/n$ bits
- ▶ (n, n) threshold
 - ▶ let $s \in \{0, 1\}^l$
 - ▶ let $s_i \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}^l$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, and $s_n = s \oplus s_1 \oplus \dots \oplus s_{n-1}$
 - ▶ reconstruction: $s = s_1 \oplus \dots \oplus s_n$
 - ▶ security: any $n - 1$ (or less) participants learn nothing about s
 - ▶ *perfect* scheme

Shamir's secret sharing scheme

- ▶ idea: t points uniquely determine some polynomial of degree $t - 1$
- ▶ finite field \mathbb{Z}_p , for a prime $p > n$
- ▶ shared secret $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$; let us assume $s \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_p$
- ▶ computing the shares:
 - ▶ choose a random polynomial $f(x) = s + a_1x + \dots + a_{t-1}x^{t-1}$, where $a_i \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_p$ for $i = 1, \dots, t - 1$
 - ▶ notice that $f(0) = s$
 - ▶ share for P_i : (i, s_i) , where $s_i = f(i)$
- ▶ reconstruction; WLOG let us assume t participants P_1, \dots, P_t :
 - ▶ Lagrange interpolation using (i, s_i) for $i = 1, \dots, t$:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^t \underbrace{f(i)}_{s_i} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq t \\ j \neq i}} \frac{x - j}{i - j}$$

- ▶ compute $s = f(0)$ (all computations are in the finite field)

Shamir's secret sharing scheme

- ▶ idea: t points uniquely determine some polynomial of degree $t - 1$
- ▶ finite field \mathbb{Z}_p , for a prime $p > n$
- ▶ shared secret $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$; let us assume $s \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_p$
- ▶ computing the shares:
 - ▶ choose a random polynomial $f(x) = s + a_1x + \dots + a_{t-1}x^{t-1}$, where $a_i \xleftarrow{\$} \mathbb{Z}_p$ for $i = 1, \dots, t - 1$
 - ▶ notice that $f(0) = s$
 - ▶ share for P_i : (i, s_i) , where $s_i = f(i)$
- ▶ reconstruction; WLOG let us assume t participants P_1, \dots, P_t :
 - ▶ Lagrange interpolation using (i, s_i) for $i = 1, \dots, t$:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^t \underbrace{f(i)}_{s_i} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq t \\ j \neq i}} \frac{x - j}{i - j}$$

- ▶ compute $s = f(0)$ (all computations are in the finite field)

Shamir's secret sharing scheme – security

- ▶ consider group of $t - 1$ participants (WLOG P_1, \dots, P_{t-1})
- ▶ the shared secret can be anything:
 - ▶ combine the shares and add point $(0, s')$ for an arbitrary $s' \in \mathbb{Z}_p$
 - ▶ t points \Rightarrow unique polynomial f'
 - ▶ f' is consistent with shares of P_1, \dots, P_{t-1}
- ▶ P_1, \dots, P_{t-1} are in the same position as someone without any share
 - ▶ probability of finding $s \sim$ is $1/p$ (guessing)
- ▶ perfect secret sharing scheme

Linear equations perspective

- ▶ unknown polynomial f (its coefficients)
- ▶ a share (i, s_i) forms a linear equation: $s_i = a_0 + a_1i + \dots + a_{t-1}i^{t-1}$
- ▶ t cooperating participants – the system of t equations with t variables
 - ▶ square Vandermonde matrix with distinct elements (i.e. non-zero determinant)
 - ▶ the system has a unique solution
- ▶ $t - 1$ cooperating participants – the system of $t - 1$ equations with t variables
 - ▶ add an additional equation: $s' = a_0$
 - ▶ square Vandermonde matrix with distinct elements (because any $i \neq 0$)
 - ▶ the system has a unique solution for any s' ... perfect scheme

Remarks

- ▶ reconstruction is just a linear combination of shares:

$$f(0) = \sum_{i \in S} s_i \cdot r_i$$

for coefficients $r_i = \prod_{j \in S \setminus \{i\}} -j/(i-j)$, and $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, $|S| = t$

- ▶ any points $(x_i, f(x_i))$ for distinct non-zero x_1, \dots, x_n can be used as shares
- ▶ homomorphic property with respect to addition:
 - ▶ two (t, n) threshold schemes defined by polynomials f and g
 - ▶ adding shares: $(i, f(i)), (i, g(i)) \mapsto (i, f(i) + g(i))$
 - ▶ polynomial (the shared secret is the addition of shared secrets $a_0 + a'_0$):

$$f(x) + g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} a_i x^i + \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} a'_i x^i = \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} (a_i + a'_i) x^i$$

Remarks (2)

- ▶ efficiency
 - ▶ polynomial time
 - ▶ long s can be divided into shorter pieces and shared by independent schemes (or we can encrypt s and share the encryption key)
- ▶ trusted dealer – generates the polynomial and distributes the shares
- ▶ one-time scheme?
 - ▶ secret revealed after reconstruction vs. black-box reconstruction
- ▶ cheating in reconstruction:
 - ▶ for example – P_1, \dots, P_t try to reconstruct s
 - ▶ P_1 cheats and reveals an incorrect share $(1, s'_1)$
 - ▶ the participants compute: $s' = s + s'_1 r_1 - s_1 r_1$
...and P_1 can easily compute s from s'

Information rate

- ▶ the size of share(s) vs. the size of the shared secret
- ▶ notation
 - ▶ S – set of secrets
 - ▶ $K(P_i)$ – set of all possible shares for P_i
 - ▶ random variables
- ▶ information rate for P_i : $\rho_i = H(S)/H(K(P_i))$
- ▶ information rate of the scheme: $\rho = \min_i \rho_i$
- ▶ uniform probability case: $\rho = \min_i \lg |S| / \lg |K(P_i)|$

Information rate (2)

- ▶ information rate for Shamir's scheme: $\rho = 1$
- ▶ perfect secret sharing scheme ... $\rho \leq 1$
 - ▶ let us assume that $\rho > 1 \Rightarrow \forall i : \rho_i > 1$
 - ▶ for all i :

$$\lg |S| / \lg |K(P_i)| > 1$$

$$\lg |S| > \lg |K(P_i)|$$

$$|S| > |K(P_i)|$$

- ▶ there exists $A \subseteq \mathcal{P}$: $P_i \notin A$, $A \notin \mathcal{A}$, and $A \cup \{P_i\} \in \mathcal{A}$
 - ▶ take all shares from participants in A and all candidate shares from $K(P_i)$
 - ▶ compute all possible values of the shared secret ... less than $|S|$
 - ▶ the scheme cannot be perfect (we can exclude some “impossible” secrets)
- ▶ a perfect secret sharing scheme with $\rho = 1$ is called ideal